Enantioselective Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed Synthesis of Chiral N‑Substituted 3,3-Dinitroazetidines by Aza-Michael Reaction

Hyo-Jun Lee and Chang-Woo Cho*

Department of Chemistry, Kyungpook Nati[ona](#page-5-0)l University, Daegu 702-701, Republic of Korea

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-5-0) An efficient and highly enantioselective phasetransfer-catalyzed aza-Michael reaction of 3,3-dinitroazetidine, as N-centered nucleophile, to α , β -unsaturated ketones has been achieved using a quinidine-based phase-transfer catalyst (0.5−1 mol %), providing chiral N-substituted 3,3-dinitroazetidines in good yields (up to 99%) and excellent enantioselectivities (90−95% ee). This is the first example of the use of azetidines as N-centered nucleophiles in catalytic enantioselective aza-Michael reactions.

■ INTRODUCTION

Azetidines are an important class of saturated aza-heterocycles found in naturally occurring organic molecules and pharmaceuticals showing a variety of potent biological activities.¹ For this reason, azetidine synthesis has received considerable attention.² In particular, the synthesis of optically pure azetidines has been a subject of active research. 3 However, while cat[aly](#page-5-0)tic enantioselective synthesis of chiral C-substituted azetidines has been widely researched, 4 the co[rr](#page-5-0)esponding synthesis of chiral N-substituted azetidines, which bear a stereogenic carbon center at the α -pos[it](#page-5-0)ion of the nitrogen atom, remains unexplored. Despite the significance of the chiral N-substituted azetidines as optically pure N-heterocyclic pharmacophores in bioactive compounds, the use of azetidines as N-centered nucleophiles in catalytic enantioselective aza-Michael reactions⁵ has not been reported. Herein, we report the efficient and highly enantioselective phase-transfercatalyzed $^{\circ}$ aza-M[ic](#page-5-0)hael reaction of 3,3-dinitroazetidine, as Ncentered nucleophile, to α , β -unsaturated ketones; to the best of our kno[w](#page-5-0)ledge, this would be a first example of the use of azetidines as N-centered nucleophiles in catalytic enantioselective aza-Michael reactions. The enantioselective phasetransfer-catalyzed aza-Michael reaction affords chiral Nsubstituted 3,3-dinitroazetidines in good yields and excellent enantioselectivities (Figure 1). Because of the inherent energy resulting from the ring strain and high nitrogen content of the

Figure 1. Enantioselective phase-transfer-catalyzed aza-Michael reaction of 3,3-dinitroazetidine to $α, β$ -unsaturated ketones.

3,3-dinitroazetidine moiety, N-substituted 3,3-dinitroazetidines are known as energetic materials.⁷ Recently, 1-bromoacetyl-3,3dinitroazetidine (ABDNAZ), a highly energetic N-substituted 3,3-dinitroazetidine, has been d[e](#page-5-0)veloped as a novel class of anticancer agent and is currently in the clinical trial phase.⁸ Hence, to explore the scope of application of energetic azetidines as pharmaceutical agents, development of a[n](#page-5-0) enantioselective route for the efficient synthesis of chiral Nsubstituted 3,3-dinitroazetidines is highly desirable.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To explore the feasibility of the phase-transfer-catalyzed enantioselective synthesis of chiral N-substituted 3,3-dinitroazetidines by aza-Michael reaction, a series of reactions between 3,3-dinitroazetidine (1) and (E) -1-phenyloct-2-en-1-one $(2a)$, using potassium hydroxide (20 mol %) as the base additive, was performed in toluene at ambient temperature, in the presence of quinidine-based phase-transfer catalysts I−III. Reactions with these catalysts afforded the corresponding aza-Michael product 3a in good to excellent yields but with very low enantioselectivities (Table 1, entries 1−3). However, when using chiral phase-transfer catalyst IV, which has a hydroxyl group instead of the [methox](#page-1-0)y group in the quinoline moiety, the phase-transfer reaction furnished the desired product 3a in 74% yield, with a considerably increased ee of 55% (Table 1, entry 4). Therefore, further reactions using other chiral phasetransfer catalysts V−IX, having a hydroxyl group, wer[e carried](#page-1-0) out under otherwise identical conditions (Table 1, entries 5− 9). Among these catalysts, IX proved to be the best, affording the corresponding product 3a in 91% yield [and 84%](#page-1-0) ee. Varying the solvent revealed that toluene was ideal for the phasetransfer reaction (Table 1, entries 10−12 vs entry 9). In addition, among the base additives tested, potassium hydroxide

Received: September 10, 2015 Published: October 27, 2015

Table 1. Optimization of Enantioselective Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed Aza-Michael Reactions of 3,3-Dinitroazetidine (1) to (E) -1-Phenyloct-2-en-1-one $(2a)^a$

2	II (10)	toluene	KOH	rt	99	2
3	III(10)	toluene	KOH	rt	67	$\mathbf{1}$
$\overline{4}$	IV (10)	toluene	KOH	rt	74	55
5	V(10)	toluene	KOH	rt	94	69
6	VI(10)	toluene	KOH	rt	83	80
7	VII(10)	toluene	KOH	rt	85	41
8	VIII (10)	toluene	KOH	rt	94	80
9	IX (10)	toluene	KOH	rt	91	84
10	IX (10)	CH_2Cl_2	KOH	rt	73	61
11	IX (10)	CHCl ₃	KOH	rt	72	69
12	IX (10)	THF	KOH	rt	77	$\mathbf{2}$
13	IX (10)	toluene	NaOH	rt	92	78
14	IX (10)	toluene	Na_2CO_3	rt	57	8
15	IX (10)	toluene	K_2CO_3	rt	93	80
16	IX (10)	toluene	KOH	$\mathbf{0}$	96	88
17	IX (10)	toluene	KOH	-10	96	90
18	IX (10)	toluene	KOH	-20	93	93
19	IX (5)	toluene	KOH	-20	93	93
20	IX (2)	toluene	KOH	-20	90	93
21	IX(1)	toluene	KOH	-20	90	93

 a^a Procedure: 2a (0.24 mmol) was added to a mixture of 1 (0.2 mmol), catalyst (0.02, 0.01, 0.004, or 0.002 mmol), and additive (0.04 mmol) in the solvent (2 mL) in one portion. The mixture was stirred at room temperature (rt), 0, -10, or -20 °C for 24 h. The solvent was removed, and the residue was isolated by silica gel chromatography. b
^bIsolated yield. ^cDetermined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H).

was ideal for the reaction (Table 1, entries 13−15 vs entry 9). Lowering the reaction temperature to −20 °C provided 3a in 93% yield, with an increased ee of 93% (Table 1, entries 16− 18). Finally, reducing the catalyst loading capacity to 1 mol % produced 3a in 90% yield, while the ee of 93% was sustained (Table 1, entries 19−21).

Subsequently, the scope of α , β -unsaturated ketones as substrates in the enantioselective phase-transfer-catalyzed aza-Michael reaction of 3,3-dinitroazetidine (1) was explored under the optimized conditions (Schemes 1 and 2). The reactions of Scheme 1. Enantioselective Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed Aza-Michael Reactions of 1 to Various 3-Substituted (E)-1- Phenylprop-2-en-1-ones 2^a

^aProcedure: 2 (0.24 mmol) was added to a mixture of 1 (0.2 mmol), catalyst IX (0.002 mmol), and KOH (0.04 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) in one portion. The mixture was stirred at −20 °C for 24 h. The enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H or Chiralpak AD-H).

1 with an assortment of 3-substituted (E)-1-phenylprop-2-en-1 ones 2 bearing alkyl, substituted alkyl, protected hydroxyalkyl, benzyl-protected mercaptoalkyl, and dibenzyl-protected aminoalkyl substituents gave the corresponding aza-Michael products 3a−3i in good yields and excellent enantioselectivities (Scheme 1). Enantioselective phase-transfer-catalyzed aza-Michael reactions of $3,3$ - dinitroazetidine (1) were then explored with a series of 1-substituted (E)-oct-2-en-1-ones 2 bearing electronrich aryl, electron-deficient aryl, aryl, heteroaryl, and cycloalkyl substituents (Scheme 2). In all cases, the desired aza-Michael products 3j−3r were obtained in good yields and excellent enantioselectivities.

To further [investigate](#page-2-0) the catalyst loading capacity, phasetransfer reactions of 1 were carried out with α , β -unsaturated ketones 2, in the presence of 0.5 mol % of catalyst IX under otherwise identical conditions; the desired products 3a and 3m were obtained in good yields and excellent enantioselectivities. The results were comparable to those of the same reactions performed using 1 mol % of catalyst IX (3a in Scheme 3 vs Scheme 1; 3m in Scheme 3 vs Scheme 2). Under the favorable conditions with a low catalyst loading of 0.5 m[ol %, the a](#page-2-0)za-Michael product 3s was obta[ined in 82](#page-2-0)% yield and 91% ee (Scheme 3). The [absolute](#page-2-0) [st](#page-2-0)ereochemical assignments of all the aza-Michael products were made on the basis of the single-

Scheme 2. Enantioselective Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed Aza-Michael Reactions of 1 to Various 1-Substituted (E)-Oct-2 en-1-ones 2^a

 a^a Procedure: 2 (0.24 mmol) was added to a mixture of 1 (0.2 mmol), catalyst IX (0.002 mmol), and KOH (0.04 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) in one portion. The mixture was stirred at −20 °C for 24 or 72 h. The enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H). b For 72 h.

Scheme 3. Enantioselective Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed Aza-Michael Reactions of 1 to α , β -Unsaturated Ketones 2 with Low Catalyst Loading^a

^a Procedure: 2 (1.2 mmol) was added to a mixture of 1 (1 mmol), catalyst IX (0.005 mmol), and KOH (0.2 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) in one portion. The mixture was stirred at −20 °C for 24 h. The enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H).

crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of the oxime derivative 4, which was generated from 3s, as described in the Supporting Information.

The proposed transition state of the aza-Michael [reaction is](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02124/suppl_file/jo5b02124_si_001.pdf) [depicted in](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02124/suppl_file/jo5b02124_si_001.pdf) Figure 2, on the basis of the absolute stereo-

Figure 2. Proposed transition state of the aza-Michael reaction.

chemistry of the aza-Michael products. The substrate is presumably captured by the catalyst via hydrogen bonding between the carbonyl oxygen of the substrate and the chiral secondary hydroxyl group of the catalyst. The anionic 3,3 dinitroazetidine nucleophile, which is generated by deprotonation of 3,3-dinitroazetidine by the base additive, would interact with the ammonium cation of the catalyst via ion pairing. In addition, the oxygen atom of the nitro group in the azetidine nucleophile would form a hydrogen bond with the free hydroxyl group of the quinoline moiety in the catalyst. Thus, the anionic 3,3-dinitroazetidine nucleophile would be optimally positioned between the catalyst and the substrate. Therefore, aza-Michael reaction of the anionic azetidine nucleophile occurs from the Re face of the substrate and provides the desired product.

■ CONCLUSION

In conclusion, an efficient and highly enantioselective phasetransfer-catalyzed aza-Michael reaction of 3,3-dinitroazetidine, as the N-centered nucleophile, to α , β -unsaturated ketones has been achieved using a quinidine-based phase-transfer catalyst IX (0.5−1 mol %) and potassium hydroxide as the base additive. The phase-transfer reaction affords chiral Nsubstituted 3,3-dinitroazetidines in good yields (up to 99%) and excellent enantioselectivities (90−95% ee). To the best of our knowledge, this is the only example of the use of azetidines as N-centered nucleophiles in catalytic enantioselective aza-Michael reactions. This strategy provides an efficient route for the enantioselective synthesis of a variety of chiral Nsubstituted 3,3-dinitroazetidines, which may serve as potential core structures for biologically active compounds. The application of these species to the synthesis of bioactive compounds will be a topic of further research.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz spectrometer with tetramethylsilane as the internal reference. HRMS data were measured on a magnetic sector−electric sector double focusing mass analyzer with FAB ionization source. Enantiomeric excess values were determined by HPLC analysis with chiral stationary phase column. 3,3-Dinitroazetidine $(1)^9$ and α,β unsaturated ketones 2^{10} were prepared according to the reported procedures.

Preparation of Ca[ta](#page-5-0)lysts I−IX. Catalysts I−VIII we[re](#page-5-0) prepared according to the reported procedures.¹¹

1-[2,5-Di(naphthalen-2-yl)benzyl]-2-[(S)-hydroxy(6-hydroxyquinolin-4-yl)methyl]-5-vinyl-1-azonia[bic](#page-5-0)yclo[2.2.2]octane Bromide (catalyst IX). $2,2'-[2-(\text{Bromomethyl})-1,4-\text{phenylene}]$ dinaphthalene (1.4 g, 3.3 mmol) was added to a solution of $4-[1S)$ -hydroxy(8vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl]quinolin-6-ol¹² (0.93 g, 3 mmol) in THF (15 mL, 0.2 M) at rt, and then the mixture was allowed to stir at reflux. After 12 h, the mixture was cooled to rt. T[he](#page-5-0) solvent was removed, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography $(SiO₂, 5\%)$ MeOH in EtOAc) to provide the catalyst IX in 64% yield (1.41 g, 1.92 mmol) as a white solid: mp 209−210 °C; [α]²³ +172.4 (c 1, CHCl₃);
¹H NMP (500 MHz, CDCl) δ 9 10 (br.s. 1H) 8 92 (c. 1H) 8 50 (d. 1 ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.10 (br s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.50 (d, J $= 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 8.26 (br s, 1H), 8.12 (s, 2H), 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.67−7.49 (m, 6H), 7.45−7.35 (m, 5H), 7.22−7.19 (m, 2H), 7.16−7.14 (m, 1H), 6.57−6.52 (m, 2H), 6.14 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 5.81 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 1H), 5.23–5.17 (m, 1H), 4.82 (s, 1H), 4.70 $(d, J = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 4.50 (s, 1H), 4.24–4.18 (m, 2H), 2.92–2.87 (m, 1H), 2.81−2.77 (m, 1H), 2.65−2.59 (m, 1H), 2.42 (s, 1H), 1.92−1.87 (m, 1H), 1.62−1.52 (m, 2H), 1.43−1.39 (m, 1H), 0.52−0.47 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) ^δ 155.4, 146.4, 143.4, 142.4, 141.8, 139.5, 136.8, 135.3, 134.8, 134.4, 133.2, 132.5, 132.5, 132.1, 130.8, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.2, 127.4, 127.2, 127.0, 126.1, 126.1, 125.7, 125.7, 125.6, 124.6, 124.3, 120.9, 118.9, 116.5, 104.4, 66.6, 66.0, 58.0, 55.8, 54.5, 36.6, 26.2, 23.4, 21.2; FTIR (neat) 3144, 3050, 1728, 1618, 1498, 1461, 1393, 1219, 1130, 925, 814, 747 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M - Br]^+ C_{46}H_{41}N_2O_2$ 653.3168, found 653.3170.

Typical Procedure for the Enantioselective Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed Aza-Michael Reactions of 3,3-Dinitroazetidine to α ,β-Unsaturated Ketones. α ,β-Unsaturated ketone 2 (0.24 mmol) was added to a mixture of 3,3-dinitroazetidine (1) (0.2 mmol), catalyst IX (0.002 mmol) , and KOH (0.04 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) in one portion. The mixture was stirred at −20 °C for 24 or 72 h. The solvent was removed, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography $(SiO₂, 10\%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to provide the corresponding products 3.

Typical Procedure for the Enantioselective Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed Aza-Michael Reactions of 3,3-Dinitroazetidine to α , β -Unsaturated Ketones with Low Catalyst Loading. α , β -Unsaturated ketone 2 (1.2 mmol) was added to a mixture of 3,3 dinitroazetidine (1) (1 mmol), catalyst IX (0.005 mmol), and KOH (0.2 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) in one portion. The mixture was stirred at −20 °C for 24 h. The solvent was removed, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography $(SiO₂, 10\% EtOAc)$ in hexanes) to afford the corresponding products 3.

 $(R)-3-(3,3-Dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-phenyloctan-1-one (3a)$. Yellow oil (63 mg, 90%); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}$ –32.3 (c 1, CHCl₃, 93% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.95−7.93 (m, 2H), 7.61−7.58 (m, 1H), 7.50−7.47 (m, 2H), 4.15−4.06 (m, 4H), 3.29−3.24 (m, 1H), 3.09−2.96 (m, 2H), 1.51−1.45 (m, 1H), 1.40−1.34 (m, 1H), 1.33−1.21 (m, 6H), 0.87 (t, J $= 6.5$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.2, 136.6, 133.4, 128.7, 127.9, 107.5, 60.6, 59.8, 40.2, 31.8, 31.3, 24.4, 22.4, 13.8; FTIR (neat) 2930, 2860, 1683, 1565, 1448, 1371, 1329, 1210, 990, 754, 689 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{17}H_{24}N_3O_5$ 350.1716, found 350.1719; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 95/5, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) $t_R = 12.1$ min (major isomer), 15.4 min (minor isomer).

(R)-3-(3,3-Dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-5-methyl-1-phenylhexan-1-one (3b). Yellow oil (52 mg, 78%); $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\rm D}^{23}$ –37.1 (c 1, CHCl₃, 92% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95−7.94 (m, 2H), 7.62−7.59 (m, 1H), 7.51−7.47 (m, 2H), 4.16−4.03 (m, 4H), 3.37−3.32 (m, 1H), 3.03 (d, J $= 5.5$ Hz, 2H), 1.63–1.56 (m, 1H), 1.33–1.21 (m, 2H), 0.94 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.91 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.4, 136.6, 133.5, 128.7, 128.0, 107.6, 59.5, 58.4, 40.9, 40.8, 24.8, 23.4, 22.3; FTIR (neat) 2956, 2923, 2869, 1682, 1565, 1448, 1368, 1330, 1204, 1016, 836, 754, 689 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for [M + $H^{\dagger} C_{16}H_{22}N_3O_5$ 336.1559, found 336.1561; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 95/5, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) $t_R = 10.3$ min (major isomer), 12.5 min (minor isomer).

(R)-5-Cyclohexyl-3-(3,3-dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-phenylpentan-1 one (3c). Yellow oil (77 mg, 99%); $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ –27.6 (c 1, CHCl₃, 94% ee);
¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl) δ 7.95–7.93 (m, 2H) 7.62–7.59 (m ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95–7.93 (m, 2H), 7.62–7.59 (m, 1H), 7.50−7.47 (m, 2H), 4.14−4.05 (m, 4H), 3.28−3.23 (m, 1H), 3.08−2.95 (m, 2H), 1.70−1.60 (m, 5H), 1.53−1.46 (m, 1H), 1.43− 1.35 (m, 1H), 1.22−1.09 (m, 6H), 0.88−0.81 (m, 2H); 13C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 198.3, 136.6, 133.5, 128.7, 128.0, 107.5, 60.8, 59.8, 40.3, 37.7, 33.3, 33.1, 32.2, 28.5, 26.5, 26.2, 26.2; FTIR (neat) 2922, 2850, 1683, 1565, 1447, 1370, 1329, 1209, 992, 752, 688 cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₂₀H₂₈N₃O₅ 390.2029, found 390.2032; HPLC (Chiralcel OD-H, hexane/IPA = 95/5, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) $t_R = 9.4$ min (minor isomer), 11.5 min (major isomer).

(R)-3-(3,3-Dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1,5-diphenylpentan-1-one (3d). Yellow oil (60 mg, 78%); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{23}$ –29.7 (c 1, CHCl₃, 92% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94−7.92 (m, 2H), 7.62−7.59 (m, 1H), 7.50−7.47 (m, 2H), 7.28−7.25 (m, 2H), 7.19−7.16 (m, 1H), 7.14− 7.12 (m, 2H), 4.14−4.05 (m, 4H), 3.38−3.33 (m, 1H), 3.16−3.02 (m, 2H), 2.70−2.58 (m, 2H), 1.86−1.79 (m, 1H), 1.77−1.70 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.0, 141.2, 136.5, 133.6, 128.8, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 126.1, 107.4, 60.1, 59.6, 40.0, 33.0, 31.0; FTIR (neat) 3027, 2928, 2863, 1682, 1563, 1448, 1371, 1330, 1204, 1001, 837, 752, 689 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₂₀H₂₂N₃O₅ 384.1559, found 384.1556; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 90/10, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) $t_R = 14.3$ min (major isomer), 16.0 min (minor isomer).

(R)-3-(3,3-Dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-phenyloct-7-en-1-one (3e). Yellow oil (68 mg, 98%); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}$ –30.0 (c 1, CHCl₃, 94% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95−7.93 (m, 2H), 7.62−7.58 (m, 1H), 7.50− 7.47 (m, 2H), 5.78−5.70 (m, 1H), 5.02−4.95 (m, 2H), 4.14−4.06 (m, 4H), 3.31−3.26 (m, 1H), 3.09−2.97 (m, 2H), 2.10−1.99 (m, 2H), 1.54−1.48 (m, 1H), 1.47−1.39 (m, 3H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 198.1, 137.9, 136.6, 133.5, 128.7, 128.0, 115.1, 107.5, 60.4, 59.8, 40.2, 33.5, 30.6, 23.9; FTIR (neat) 2926, 2857, 1683, 1564, 1448, 1370, 1329, 1210, 1001, 912, 754, 689 cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{17}H_{22}N_3O_5$ 348.1559, found 348.1561; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 90/10, 0.9 mL/min, λ = 254 nm) t_R = 8.8 min (major isomer), 11.0 min (minor isomer).

(R)-7-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-3-(3,3-dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1 phenylheptan-1-one (**3f**). Yellow oil (77 mg, 83%); $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ –21.0 (c 1, CHCl₃, 93% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95–7.93 (m, 2H), 7.61−7.58 (m, 1H), 7.50−7.47 (m, 2H), 4.15−4.06 (m, 4H), 3.58 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.30−3.26 (m, 1H), 3.10−2.95 (m, 2H), 1.50−1.46 (m, 3H), 1.44−1.28 (m, 3H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.02 (s, 3H), 0.01 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.2, 136.6, 133.5, 128.7, 128.0, 107.5, 62.5, 60.5, 59.8, 40.2, 32.8, 31.1, 25.9, 21.1, 18.2, −5.3; FTIR (neat) 2929, 2857, 1684, 1567, 1448, 1371, 1330, 1254, 1095, 834, 774, 688 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₂₂H₃₆N₃O₆Si 466.2373, found 466.2374; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 95/5, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) $t_R = 6.0$ min (major isomer), 6.9 min (minor isomer).

(R)-6-(Benzyloxy)-3-(3,3-dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-phenylhexan-1 one (3g). Yellow oil (68 mg, 80%); $[\alpha]_1^{24} - 21.3$ (c 1, CHCl₃, 93% ee);
¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl) δ 7.94–7.92 (m, 2H), 7.61–7.58 (m ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94–7.92 (m, 2H), 7.61–7.58 (m, 1H), 7.49−7.46 (m, 2H), 7.35−7.26 (m, 5H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 4.14−4.04 $(m, 4H)$, 3.45 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.33–3.28 (m, 1H), 3.10–2.96 (m, 2H), 1.69−1.54 (m, 3H), 1.53−1.45 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 198.1, 138.2, 136.5, 133.5, 128.7, 128.7, 128.3, 128.0, 127.6, 107.4, 72.9, 69.7, 60.2, 59.7, 40.1, 27.9, 24.9; FTIR (neat) 2924, 2854, 1682, 1564, 1448, 1369, 1330, 1209, 1097, 836, 737, 689 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₂₂H₂₆N₃O₆ 428.1822, found 428.1821; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = $90/10$, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) $t_R = 13.3$ min (major isomer), 15.8 min (minor isomer).

(R)-6-(Benzylthio)-3-(3,3-dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-phenylhexan-1 one (3h). Yellow oil (80 mg, 90%); $[\alpha]_1^{24} - 26.0$ (c 1, CHCl₃, 91% ee);
¹H NMP (500 MHz, CDCl) δ 7.93–7.91 (m, 2H) 7.62–7.59 (m ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.93–7.91 (m, 2H), 7.62–7.59 (m, 1H), 7.50−7.47 (m, 2H), 7.30−7.26 (m, 4H), 7.23−7.20 (m, 1H), 4.11−4.02 (m, 4H), 3.67 (s, 2H), 3.26−3.22 (m, 1H), 3.01−2.93 (m, 2H), 2.41−2.38 (m, 2H), 1.57−1.48 (m, 3H), 1.47−1.42 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.9, 138.3, 136.5, 133.6, 128.7, 128.7, 128.4, 128.0, 126.9, 107.4, 60.0, 59.7, 39.8, 36.3, 31.2, 30.1, 24.1; FTIR (neat) 3028, 2924, 2855, 1682, 1563, 1448, 1370, 1329, 1200, 1001, 755, 689 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₂₂H₂₆N₃O₅S 444.1593, found 444.1594; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 88/12, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) $t_R = 16.1$ min (major isomer), 22.7 min (minor isomer).

(R)-7-(Dibenzylamino)-3-(3,3-dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-phenylheptan-1-one (3i). Yellow oil (82 mg, 77%); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}$ –19.3 (c 1, CHCl₃, 91% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.93−7.91 (m, 2H), 7.61− 7.58 (m, 1H), 7.49−7.46 (m, 2H), 7.33−7.25 (m, 8H), 7.22−7.19 (m, 2H), 4.05−3.95 (m, 4H), 3.51 (s, 4H), 3.21−3.16 (m, 1H), 3.02−2.90 $(m, 2H)$, 2.38 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.49−1.45 $(m, 2H)$, 1.36−1.29 $(m,$ 2H), $1.28-1.22$ (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.2, 139.8, 136.6, 133.5, 128.7, 128.7, 128.1, 128.0, 126.7, 107.5, 60.4, 59.7, 58.4, 52.7, 40.3, 31.0, 27.0, 22.3; FTIR (neat) 3027, 2928, 2859, 1683, 1566, 1449, 1369, 1329, 1208, 1027, 745, 697 cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₃₀H₃₅N₄O₅ 531.2607, found 531.2610; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = $90/10$, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) t_R = 10.4 min (major isomer), 18.5 min (minor isomer).

(R)-3-(3,3-Dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)octan-1-one **(3j).** Yellow oil (70 mg, 92%); $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ –27.1 (c 1, CHCl₃, 95% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94−7.92 (m, 2H), 6.97−6.94 (m, 2H), 4.14−4.04 (m, 4H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.28−3.23 (m, 1H), 3.02−2.91 (m, 2H), 1.50−1.43 (m, 1H), 1.39−1.33 (m, 1H), 1.32−1.21 (m, 6H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.7, 163.8, 130.3, 129.7, 113.8, 107.6, 60.9, 59.8, 55.5, 39.9, 31.9, 31.4, 24.5, 22.4, 13.9; FTIR (neat) 2930, 2859, 1672, 1599, 1566, 1460, 1370, 1316, 1259, 1168, 1028, 831 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for [M + H]⁺ $C_{18}H_{26}N_3O_6$ 380.1822, found 380.1823; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 90/10, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) $t_R = 14.6$ min (major isomer), 18.6 min (minor isomer).

(R)-3-(3,3-Dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)octan-1-one (3k). Yellow oil (60 mg, 82%); $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\rm D}^{23}$ –34.0 (c 1, CHCl₃, 90% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.00−7.96 (m, 2H), 7.18−7.14 (m, 2H), 4.14−4.05 (m, 4H), 3.28−3.24 (m, 1H), 3.06−2.93 (m, 2H), 1.51− 1.44 (m, 1H), 1.40−1.33 (m, 1H), 1.33−1.21 (m, 6H), 0.87 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.6, 165.9 (d, ¹J_{C,F} = 255.0 Hz), 133.0 (d, $^{4}J_{C,F}$ = 3.7 Hz), 130.7 (d, $^{3}J_{C,F}$ = 8.7 Hz), 115.9 $(d, {}^{2}J_{C,F} = 22.5 \text{ Hz})$, 107.5, 60.6, 59.8, 40.2, 31.9, 31.3, 24.5, 22.4, 13.9; FTIR (neat) 2931, 2861, 1683, 1597, 1566, 1441, 1371, 1330, 1229, 1156, 991, 834 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{17} H_{23}$ FN₃O₅ 368.1622, found 368.1624; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 95/5, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) $t_R = 14.0$ min (major isomer), 15.2 min (minor isomer).

(R)-3-(3,3-Dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)octan-1-one (31). Yellow oil (69 mg, 86%); $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{23}$ –16.3 (c 1, CHCl₃, 92% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.46 (s, 1H), 8.02−7.97 (m, 2H), 7.93− 7.88 (m, 2H), 7.64−7.56 (m, 2H), 4.17−4.09 (m, 4H), 3.35−3.31 (m, 1H), 3.22−3.10 (m, 2H), 1.52−1.49 (m, 1H), 1.45−1.38 (m, 1H), 1.37−1.24 (m, 6H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 198.2, 135.7, 134.0, 132.4, 129.8, 129.5, 128.7, 128.6, 127.7, 126.9, 123.5, 107.5, 60.8, 59.8, 40.3, 31.9, 31.4, 24.6, 22.4, 13.9; FTIR (neat) 2930, 2860, 1677, 1565, 1467, 1371, 1330, 1179, 1124, 822, 749 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{21}H_{26}N_3O_5$ 400.1872, found 400.1874; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 92/8, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) $t_R = 14.5$ min (major isomer), 20.4 min (minor isomer).

(R)-3-(3,3-Dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-(furan-2-yl)octan-1-one (3m). Yellow oil (65 mg, 96%); $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\rm D}^{23}$ –27.1 (c 1, CHCl₃, 92% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61 (dd, J = 1.5, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (dd, J $= 4.0, 0.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.57 (dd, J = 3.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.14–4.06 (m, 4H), 3.20−3.16 (m, 1H), 2.95−2.82 (m, 2H), 1.47−1.42 (m, 1H), 1.39− 1.33 (m, 1H), 1.32–1.20 (m, 6H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 187.2, 152.5, 146.7, 117.5, 112.5, 107.5, 60.8, 59.7, 40.0, 31.8, 31.1, 24.4, 22.4, 13.9; FTIR (neat) 2929, 2859, 1671, 1565, 1466, 1372, 1225, 1163, 1014, 883, 762 cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ $C_{15}H_{22}N_3O_6$ 340.1509, found 340.1508; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 92/8, 0.9 mL/min, λ = 254 nm) t_R = 11.0 min (major isomer), 13.3 min (minor isomer).

 $(R)-3-(3,3-Dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-(furan-3-yl)octan-1-one (3n).$ Yellow oil (51 mg, 75%); $\left[\alpha\right]_{\rm D}^{23}$ –26.2 (c 1, CHCl₃, 95% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.05 (dd, J = 1.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (dd, J $= 1.5, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.77 (dd, J = 2.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.13–4.05 (m, 4H), 3.23−3.18 (m, 1H), 2.86−2.72 (m, 2H), 1.48−1.42 (m, 1H), 1.37− 1.21 (m, 7H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.8, 147.3, 144.5, 127.8, 108.4, 107.5, 60.6, 59.8, 42.3, 31.8, 31.3, 24.5, 22.4, 13.9; FTIR (neat) 2930, 2860, 1673, 1563, 1461, 1371,

1329, 1155, 1055, 872, 815, 743 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for [M + H ⁺ C₁₅H₂₂N₃O₆ 340.1509, found 340.1506; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 92/8, 0.9 mL/min, λ = 254 nm) t_R = 11.9 min (major isomer), 16.4 min (minor isomer).

(R)-3-(3,3-Dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-(thiophen-2-yl)octan-1-one (30). Yellow oil (68 mg, 96%); $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\rm D}^{24}$ –30.9 (c 1, CHCl₃, 94% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73 (dd, J = 4.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (dd, J = 5.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.14−4.06 (m, 4H), 3.25−3.20 (m, 1H), 3.01−2.89 (m, 2H), 1.49−1.44 (m, 1H), 1.40− 1.21 (m, 7H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 191.0, 143.9, 134.4, 132.2, 128.3, 107.5, 61.0, 59.7, 41.1, 31.8, 31.2, 24.4, 22.4, 13.8; FTIR (neat) 2929, 2858, 1656, 1564, 1461, 1414, 1371, 1331, 1235, 1060, 859, 722 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for [M + H ⁺ C₁₅H₂₂N₃O₅S 356.1280, found 356.1277; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 92/8, 0.9 mL/min, $λ = 254$ nm) $t_R = 10.6$ min (major isomer), 13.4 min (minor isomer).

(R)-3-(3,3-Dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-1-(thiophen-3-yl)octan-1-one **(3p).** Yellow oil (64 mg, 90%); $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ –23.1 (c 1, CHCl₃, 91% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.07 (dd, J = 3.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (dd, J = 5.0, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.14−4.05 (m, 4H), 3.25−3.21 (m, 1H), 3.00−2.87 (m, 2H), 1.49−1.43 (m, 1H), 1.39− 1.21 (m, 7H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.4, 142.0, 132.3, 126.8, 126.7, 107.5, 60.7, 59.8, 41.7, 31.9, 31.3, 24.5, 22.4, 13.9; FTIR (neat) 2929, 2859, 1671, 1564, 1412, 1371, 1331, 1225, 1171, 1075, 866, 792 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for [M + $[H]^+$ C₁₅H₂₂N₃O₅S 356.1280, found 356.1280; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 92/8, 0.9 mL/min, $λ = 254$ nm) $t_R = 11.5$ min (major isomer), 14.6 min (minor isomer).

(R)-1-Cyclopropyl-3-(3,3-dinitroazetidin-1-yl)octan-1-one (3q). Yellow oil (38 mg, 60%); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{24}$ –31.2 (c 1, CHCl₃, 91% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.10−4.04 (m, 4H), 3.07−3.03 (m, 1H), 2.69−2.55 (m, 2H), 1.95−1.91 (m, 1H), 1.42−1.36 (m, 1H), 1.33− 1.21 (m, 7H), 1.06−1.04 (m, 2H), 0.95−0.91 (m, 2H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.0, 107.5, 60.5, 59.7, 45.1, 31.9, 31.2, 24.5, 22.4, 21.2, 13.9, 11.4, 11.3; FTIR (neat) 2930, 2860, 1696, 1566, 1442, 1386, 1331, 1195, 1075, 1016, 835 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₁₄H₂₄N₃O₅ 314.1716, found 314.1717; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 95/5, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 220$ nm) $t_R = 8.3$ min (major isomer), 9.8 min (minor isomer).

(R)-1-Cyclohexyl-3-(3,3-dinitroazetidin-1-yl)octan-1-one (3r). Yellow oil (46 mg, 65%); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}$ –24.3 (c 1, CHCl₃, 90% ee); ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 4.09–4.01 (m, 4H), 3.08–3.03 (m, 1H), 2.56– 2.42 (m, 2H), 2.36−2.30 (m, 1H), 1.83−1.78 (m, 4H), 1.70−1.67 (m, 1H), 1.39−1.16 (m, 13H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.1, 107.5, 59.9, 59.7, 51.3, 42.3, 31.8, 31.1, 28.4, 28.3, 25.6, 25.5, 25.4, 24.4, 22.4, 13.9; FTIR (neat) 2929, 2856, 1705, 1567, 1449, 1373, 1331, 1145, 909, 835, 731 cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+$ C₁₇H₃₀N₃O₅ 356.2185, found 356.2187; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 95/5, 0.9 mL/min, λ = 220 nm) t_R = 6.8 min (major isomer), 8.5 min (minor isomer).

(R)-1-(6-Bromonaphthalen-2-yl)-3-(3,3-dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-5 phenylpentan-1-one (3s). Yellow oil (419 mg, 82%); $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ –28.2 (c 1, CHCl₃, 91% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.37 (s, 1H), 8.04 $(d, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 8.00 $(dd, J = 8.5, 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 7.81 $(dd, J = 8.5,$ 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27−7.24 (m, 2H), 7.18− 7.16 (m, 1H), 7.14−7.13 (m, 2H), 4.16−4.07 (m, 4H), 3.42−3.38 (m, 1H), 3.25−3.11 (m, 2H), 2.73−2.61 (m, 2H), 1.89−1.82 (m, 1H), 1.80−1.73 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.5, 141.1, 136.5, 134.1, 131.0, 130.8, 130.5, 129.9, 129.6, 128.5, 128.2, 127.7, 126.1, 124.7, 123.2, 107.4, 60.1, 59.6, 40.0, 32.9, 31.0; FTIR (neat) 3026, 2925, 2855, 1677, 1563, 1458, 1370, 1331, 1204, 1169, 1063, 881, 810, 749 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{24} H_{23} Br N_3 O_5$ 512.0821, found 512.0817; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/IPA = 80/20, 0.9 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm) $t_R = 20.3$ min (major isomer), 27.2 min (minor isomer).

(R,E)-1-(6-Bromonaphthalen-2-yl)-3-(3,3-dinitroazetidin-1-yl)-5 phenylpentan-1-one Oxime (4). Hydroxylamine hydrochloride (21 mg, 0.3 mmol) was added to a solution of 3s (102 mg, 0.2 mmol) and pyridine (0.025 mL, 8 M) in EtOH (2 mL, 0.1 M) at rt, and then the mixture was allowed to stir at reflux. After 3 h, the mixture was cooled to rt. The mixture was quenched by saturated NH₄Cl and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic layer was washed with saturated NH_4Cl and brine and then dried over MgSO4. Filtration, concentration, and purification by flash column chromatography ($SiO₂$, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) provided the oxime 4 in 66% yield (69 mg, 0.132 mmol) as a white solid: mp 118−119 °C; [α]²³ −1.8 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.21 (br s, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.81−7.76 (m, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.21−7.13 (m, 3H), 6.97 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 4.09 (s, 4H), 3.10−3.01 (m, 3H), 2.71−2.58 (m, 2H), 1.70−1.66 (m, 2H); 13C NMR [125 MHz, $CO(CD_3)$] δ 155.9, 142.9, 135.3, 135.2, 132.4, 131.1, 130.2, 130.1, 128.9, 128.8, 127.9, 126.5, 126.2, 125.8, 120.7, 109.2, 62.6, 60.1, 33.4, 31.1, 27.2; FTIR (neat) 3144, 3026, 2857, 1557, 1458, 1371, 1330, 1178, 1028, 973, 824, 749, 699 cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $[M + H]^+ C_{24}H_{24}BrN_4O_5$ 527.0930, found 527.0928.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02124.

Copies of ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra of all new [compounds, chiral](http://pubs.acs.org) HPLC a[nalysis data of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02124) 3a−3s, and X-ray crystallographic data of 4 (PDF)

X-ray crystallographic data of 4 in CIF format (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: cwcho@knu.ac.kr

Notes

The auth[ors declare no co](mailto:cwcho@knu.ac.kr)mpeting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support by DAPA/ ADD of Korea and the Converged Energy Materials Research Center in Yonsei University.

ENDERGERENCES

(1) (a) Metkar, S. D.; Bhatia, M. S.; Desai, U. V. Med. Chem. Res. 2013, 22, 5982. (b) Ding, D.; Nickell, J. R.; Deaciuc, A. G.; Penthala, N. R.; Dwoskin, L. P.; Crooks, P. A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2013, 21, 6771. (c) Faust, M. R.; Höfner, G.; Pabel, J.; Wanner, K. T. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 45, 2453. (d) Evans, G. B.; Furneaux, R. H.; Greatrex, B.; Murkin, A. S.; Schramm, V. L.; Tyler, P. C. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 948. (e) Ferraris, D.; Belyakov, S.; Li, W.; Oliver, E.; Ko, Y.-S.; Calvin, D.; Lautar, S.; Thomas, B.; Rojas, C. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2007, 7, 597. (f) Couty, F.; Evano, G. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2006, 38, 427. (g) Nichols, D. E.; Frescas, S.; Marona-Lewicka, D.; Kurrasch-Orbaugh, D. M. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 4344. (h) Bannon, A. W.; Decker, M. W.; Holladay, M. W.; Curzon, P.; Donnelly-Roberts, D.; Puttfarcken, P. S.; Bitner, R. S.; Diaz, A.; Dickenson, A. H.; Porsolt, R. D.; Williams, M.; Arneric, S. P. Science 1998, 279, 77. (i) Frigola, J.; Parés, J.; Corbera, J.; Vañó, D.; Mercé, R.; Torrens, A.; Más, J.; Valentí, E. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 801.

(2) For reviews of azetidine synthesis, see the following: (a) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Aragoncillo, C. Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev. 2010, 13, 685. (b) Van Brabandt, W.; Mangelinckx, S.; D'hooghe, M.; Van Driessche, B.; De Kimpe, N. Curr. Org. Chem. 2009, 13, 829. (c) Cromwell, N. H.; Phillips, B. Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 331.

(3) For reviews of asymmetric synthesis of chiral azetidines, see the following: (a) Couty, F.; Evano, G. Synlett 2009, 2009, 3053. (b) Brandi, A.; Cicchi, S.; Cordero, F. M. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3988. (c) Couty, F.; Evano, G.; Prim, D. Mini-Rev. Org. Chem. 2004, 1, 133.

(4) For examples of catalytic enantioselective synthesis of chiral Csubstituted azetidines, see the following: (a) Ding, R.; Zheng, B.; Wang, Y.; Peng, Y. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4128. (b) Takizawa, S.; Arteaga,

F. A.; Yoshida, Y.; Suzuki, M.; Sasai, H. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4142. (c) Rai, A.; Yadav, L. D. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 3127. (d) Amongero, M.; Kaufman, T. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 1924. (e) Davis, T. A.; Danneman, M. W.; Johnston, J. N. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5578. (f) Xu, Y.; Lu, G.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 3353.

(5) For reviews of asymmetric aza-Michael reactions, see the following: (a) Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Aceña, J. L.; Simón-Fuentes, A.; del Pozo, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 7430. (b) Amara, Z.; Caron, J.; Joseph, D. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2013, 30, 1211. (c) Wang, J.; Li, P.; Choy, P. Y.; Chan, A. S. C.; Kwong, F. Y. ChemCatChem 2012, 4, 917. (d) Reyes, E.; Fernández, M.; Uria, U.; Vicario, J. L.; Badía, D.; Carrillo, L. Curr. Org. Chem. 2012, 16, 521. (e) Enders, D.; Wang, C.; Liebich, J. X. Chem. - Eur. J. 2009, 15, 11058. (f) Xu, L.-W.; Xia, C.-G. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 2005, 633. (g) Vicario, J. L.; Badía, D.; Carrillo, L.; Etxebarria, J.; Reyes, E.; Ruiz, N. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2005, 37, 513.

(6) For reviews of asymmetric phase-transfer catalysis, see the following: (a) Shirakawa, S.; Maruoka, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4312. (b) Novacek, J.; Waser, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 637. (c) Jew, S.-s.; Park, H.-g. Chem. Commun. 2009, 7090. (d) Maruoka, K. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2008, 12, 679. (e) Ooi, T.; Maruoka, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4222. (f) Ooi, T.; Maruoka, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 526. (g) Lygo, B.; Andrews, B. I. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 518. (h) Maruoka, K.; Ooi, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 3013.

(7) (a) Yan, B.; Zhou, H. Adv. Mater. Res. 2014, 997, 81. (b) Yan, B.; Ma, H. X.; Zhao, N. N.; Mai, T.; Song, J. R.; Zhao, F. Q.; Hu, R. Z. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 2012, 110, 1253. (c) Hiskey, M. A.; Johnson, M. C.; Chavez, D. E. J. Energ. Mater. 1999, 17, 233.

(8) (a) Ning, S.; Bednarski, M.; Oronsky, B.; Scicinski, J.; Saul, G.; Knox, S. J. Cancer Res. 2012, 72, 2600. (b) Straessler, N. A.; Lesley, M. W.; Cannizzo, L. F. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2012, 16, 512.

(9) Hiskey, M. A.; Coburn, M. D.; Mitchell, M. A.; Benicewicz, B. C. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1992, 29, 1855.

(10) (a) Provencher, B. A.; Bartelson, K. J.; Liu, Y.; Foxman, B. M.; Deng, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10565. (b) Chong, J. M.; Shen, L.; Taylor, N. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1822. (c) Oare, D. A.; Henderson, M. A.; Sanner, M. A.; Heathcock, C. H. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 132.

(11) (a) Kawai, H.; Okusu, S.; Yuan, Z.; Tokunaga, E.; Yamano, A.; Shiro, M.; Shibata, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2221. (b) Claraz, A.; Oudeyer, S.; Levacher, V. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 841. (c) Wu, S.; Zeng, W.; Wang, Q.; Chen, F.-X. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 9334. (d) Nibbs, A. E.; Baize, A.-L.; Herter, R. M.; Scheidt, K. A. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4010.

(12) Li, H.; Wang, Y.; Tang, L.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9906.